

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/840,107	10/840,107 05/06/2004		Yong-Ho Yang	21C-0126	7269	
23413	7590	09/29/2005		EXAMINER		
CANTOR		,	TON, MINH TOAN T			
55 GRIFFIN BLOOMFIE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2871		
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant	(s)	
		10/840,107		YANG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Toan Ton	2871		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the corresponde	ence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING D	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date BANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	of this communication,	
Status				:	
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal mat	·-		
Disposit	ion of Claims			:	
5)	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or estimate of the specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	election requirement. Expression of the description of the description of the description is required if the drawing to the description is required if the description is required in the description in the description is required in the	nce. See 37 CFR 1.8 (s) is objected to. See	e 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			; :	
12) [] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in A ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this Na		
Attachment	c(s)		!	:	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Applicatio 	on (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/840,107

Art Unit: 2871

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-21, 33-37, drawn to a display device, classified in class 349, subclass114.
 - II. Claims 22-32, drawn to a method of manufacturing a display device, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed in Group I can be made by another and materially different process other than the claimed process as recited in Group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,107

Art Unit: 2871

2. If Group I is elected above, a further election of the one of the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention is required:

(Ia) the specifics of the display device being comprised of particular and distinct details directing to an embodiment comprising claims 1-21;

(Ib)the specifics of the display device being comprised of particular and distinct details directing to an embodiment comprising claims 33-37.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/840,107

Art Unit: 2871

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2303.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 26, 2005

TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER